

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**  
**ABSTRACT**

The A.P. Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Act, 1986 ( Act No.1 of 1986) – Order of detention passed by the Collector & District Magistrate, YSR District, against Sri Gangi Reddy Rajesh Kumar Reddy @ Khajipet Chinna, S/o. Obula Reddy, aged 28 years, Khajipet Mandal, YSR District – Representation – Rejected.

---

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (LAW AND ORDER.II) DEPARTMENT

**G.O.Rt.No. 1555**

**Dated: 13.04.2011**  
**Read the following:-**

1. Order of detention in Ref.C1/90/M/2011, dt. 28-1-2011 of the Collector & District Magistrate, E.G. District,
2. G.O.Rt.No.471, G.A. (L&O-II) Dept., dt. 3-2-2011.
3. G.O.Rt.No.893, G.A. (L&O-II) Dept., dt.03-03-2011.
4. Representation of Sri P. Durga Rao, dt, 12-02-2011.

\*\*\*

**ORDER:**

In the reference first read above, the Collector & District Magistrate, YSR District has passed detention order against Sri Gangi Reddy Rajesh Kumar Reddy @ Khajipet Chinna, S/o. Obula Reddy, aged 28 years, Khajipet Mandal, YSR District, under Act No.1 of 1986, as he has been repeatedly indulging in illicit cutting, storing, transportation of Red Sanders heartwood and causing damage to the public property, thereby causing great loss to Nation. In the G.Os. second and third read above, Government have approved and confirmed the said order of detention.

2. In the ref. 4<sup>th</sup> read above, the detenu has made a representation to the Government through the Superintendent of Jails, contending inter-alia that the detention order is passed in a mechanical manner on vogue, irrelevant and non-existing grounds; the fact that he was released on bail in Cr.No.189/2009 was not brought to the notice of the detaining authority while passing the orders of detention; that the placing of the order releasing him on bail might have weighed with the detaining authority while passing order of detention; that in none of the cases referred in the grounds of detention, he was apprehended, that he was implicated on the basis of confession made by the co-accused, who were apprehended at the spot and that there is no material to connect him with alleged incidents; that the incidents referred in the grounds are alleged to have been committed by the accused, i.e. felling trees in the forest and transporting the red sanders from the forest will not fall within the meaning of Goonda as defined in the Act; that the only allegation made against him in one case is that he financed and arranged transport for red sanders and that there is no basis for the said allegation except the alleged confession which is inadmissible and that he was not provided with translated copies of vital documents and the documents supplied to him are illegible.

3. The representation of the detenu has been examined, and it is observed that the detaining authority duly considering his involvement in series of seven incidents referred in the grounds of detention has passed an order of detention of the detenu; that the detenu has not disputed the number of cases and the nature

( PTO)

of offences involved by him; that his contention that he was not apprehended in any of the cases is not correct, as the detenu was apprehended on 30-11-2009 in Cr.No.189/2009 of Khajipet P.S. and again on 27-1-2011 of FSP. Kadapa and in other cases the detenu is instigating and involving the co-accused and conveniently escaping without being apprehended, hence, based on the confessions made by the co-accused who are caught red handedly at the scene of offence, the cases were booked against the detenu; that in all the seven cases mentioned in the grounds of detention, cases were booked against him under section 378 and 379 of I.P.C.(Theft of National Property) besides various Forest Laws and the offences punishable under Chapter XVII of IPC, and hence his activities fall with in the meaning of "goonda" as defined under section 2(g) of Act 1 of 1986; that all the documents supplied to the detenu are legible, however on his request another set of copies of documents were supplied to him. The other contention of the detenu that the detention order was issued due to political rivalry is vague and baseless as he did not furnish any details of political rivalry, involvement of persons/parties in the issue in support of his contention. The C& DM, Y.S.R.District, having satisfied that the detenu is habitually committing the said offences in an organized Mafia manner and all his activities are dangerous to forest wealth and prejudicial to maintenance of public order has passed the order of detention, which was subsequently approved and confirmed by the Government duly referring the case to the Advisory Board of Preventive Detentions. It is therefore considered that the representation of the detenu merits no consideration and liable for rejection.

4. Accordingly, Government hereby reject the representation of Sri Gangi Reddy Rajesh Kumar Reddy @ Khajipet Chinna, S/o. Obula Reddy, aged 28 years, Khajipet Mandal, YSR District, for revocation of his detention.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

S.V. PRASAD  
CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri Gangi Reddy Rajesh Kumar Reddy @ Khajipet Chinna,  
S/o. Obula Reddy, Khajipet Mandal, YSR District, detenu  
**through** the Sudt., Central Prison, Cherlapalli, R.R. District.

**Copy to-**

The Superintendent, Central Prison,, Cherlapalli, Ranga Reddy District  
(with instructions to serve the order on the detenu immediately under  
proper acknowledgement and arrange to read over and explain the  
contents therein to the detenu in the language known to her and  
report compliance to Government).

The Collector and District Magistrate, YSR District, Kadapa.

The Divisional Forest Officer, Flying Squard (RS) Division, Kadapa,  
YSR District.

Sf/sc

// FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER (SC)